arXiv:1404.0873v1 [math.GR] 3 Apr 2014

On the Exponent of the Schur multiplier of a Pair of Finite p-Groups

Fahimeh Mohammadzadeh, Azam Hokmabadi and Behrooz Mashayekhy

Abstract. In this paper, we find an upper bound for the exponent of the Schur multiplier of a pair (G, N) of finite p-groups, when N admits a complement in G. As a consequence, we show that the exponent of the Schur multiplier of a pair (G, N) divides $\exp(N)$ if (G, N) is a pair of finite p-groups of class at most $p-1$. We also prove that if N is powerfully embedded in G, then the exponent of the Schur multiplier of a pair (G, N) divides $\exp(N)$.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). 20C25; 20D15.

Keywords. Pair of groups, Schur multiplier of a pair, Finite p-groups.

1. Introduction and Motivation

In 1998, Ellis [2] extended the theory of the Schur multiplier for a pair of groups. By a pair of groups (G, N) we mean a group G with a normal subgroup N of G. The Schur multiplier of a pair (G, N) of groups is a functorial abelian group $M(G, N)$ whose principal feature is a natural exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} H_3(G) &\to H_3(G/N) \to M(G,N) \to M(G) \to M(G/N) \\ &\to N/[N,G] \to (G)^{ab} \to (G/N)^{ab} \to 0 \end{aligned}$$

in which $H_3(G)$ is the third homology group of G with integer coefficients. In particular, if $N = G$, then $M(G, G)$ is the usual Schur multiplier $M(G)$.

It has been a considerable question that when $\exp(M(G))$ divides $\exp(G)$, in which $\exp(G)$ denotes the exponent of G. Macdonald and Wamsely (see [1]) constructed an example of a group of exponent 4, whereas its Schur multiplier has exponent 8, hence the conjecture is not true in general. In 1973, Jones [4] proved that the exponent of the Schur multiplier of a finite p-group of class $c \geq 2$ and exponent p^e is at most $p^{e(c-1)}$ and hence $\exp(M(G))$ divides $\exp(G)$ when G is a p-group of class 2. In 1987, Lubotzky and Mann [6] proved that $\exp(M(G))$ divides $\exp(G)$ when G is a powerful p-group.

A result of Ellis [3] shows that if G is a p-group of class $k \geq 2$ and exponent p^e, then $\exp(M(G)) \leq p^{e\lceil k/2 \rceil}$, where $\lceil k/2 \rceil$ denotes the smallest integer n such that $n \geq k/2$. Moravec [8] showed that $\lceil k/2 \rceil$ can be replaced by $2\lfloor \log_2 k \rfloor$ which is an improvement if $k \geq 11$. He [8] also proved that if G is a metabelian group of exponent p, then $\exp(M(G))$ divides p. Kayvanfar and Sanati [5] proved that if G is a p-group, then $\exp(M(G))$ divides $\exp(G)$ when G is a finite p-group of class 3, 4 or 5 with some conditions. The authors [7] extended the result and proved that $\exp(M(G))$ divides $\exp(G)$ when G is a finite p-group of class at most $p-1$.

On the other hand, Ellis [2] proved that $\exp(M(G,N))$ divides $|N|$ for any pair (G,N) of finite groups, in which $|N|$ denotes the order of N. Now a question that can naturally arise, is whether $\exp(M(G,N))$ divides $\exp(N)$ when N is a proper normal subgroup of G. In this paper, first we present an example to give a negative answer to the question. Second, we give some conditions under which the exponent of $M(G,N)$ divides the exponent of N.

In Section 2, we give an upper bound for $\exp(M(G,N))$ in terms of $\exp(N)$, when (G,N) is a pair of finite p-groups such that N admits a complement in G, and apply it to prove that if (G,N) is a pair of finite p-groups of class at most $p-1$ (i.e $[N, {}_{p-1}G] = 1$), then $\exp(M(G,N))$ divides $\exp(N)$. Finally in Section 3, we show that if (G,N) is a pair of finite p-groups and N is powerfully embedded in G, then $\exp(M(G,N))$ divides $\exp(N)$.

2. Nilpotent pairs of p-groups

I. D. Macdonald and J.W. Wamsley [1] gave an example which shows that $\exp(M(G,G))$ dose not divide $\exp(G)$, in general. The following example shows that $\exp(M(G,N))$ dose not divide $\exp(N)$ when N is a proper normal subgroup of G.

Example 1. Let $D = A \rtimes\!\!\lhd < x_1 >$, where $A =< x_2 > \times < x_3 > \times < x_4 > \times < x_5 > \cong \mathbf{Z}_4 \times \mathbf{Z}_4 \times \mathbf{Z}_4 \times \mathbf{Z}_2$ and x_1 is an automorphism of order 2 of A acting in the following way:

$$[x_2, x_1] = x_2^2, \quad [x_3, x_1] = x_3^2, \quad [x_4, x_1] = x_4^2, \quad [x_5, x_1] = 1.$$

There exists an automorphism a of D of order 4 acting on D as follows:

$$[x_1, a] = x_3, \quad [x_2, a] = x_2^2 x_3^2 x_4^3 \quad [x_3, a] = x_5, \quad [x_4, a] = x_2^2, \quad [x_5, a] = x_3^2.$$

Form $N = D \rtimes\!\!\lhd < a >$ and put $G = N \rtimes\!\!\lhd < b >$, where $b^2 = 1$ and $[x_1, b] = x_2$, $[x_2, b] = x_2^2 x_4^3 x_5$, $[x_3, b] = x_4$, $[x_4, b] = x_3^2 x_4^2$, $[x_5, b] = x_2^2 x_3^2 x_4^2$, $[a, b] = x_1$. Moravec [8] showed that the group G is a nilpotent group of class 6 and exponent 4 and $M(G) \cong \mathbf{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{Z}_4 \times \mathbf{Z}_8$. Ellis [2] proved that if $G = K \rtimes Q$, then $M(G) \cong M(G,K) \oplus M(Q)$. This implies that $M(G,N) \cong M(G)$. Therefore $\exp(M(G,N)) = 8$ dose not divide $\exp(N) = 4$.

Here we first give an upper bound for the exponent of $M(G,N)$ in terms of the exponent of N, when (G,N) is a pair of finite p-groups such that N

admits a complement in G. Since our proof relies on commutator calculations, we need to state the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. *([9]). Let $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r$ be any elements of a group and α be a nonnegative integer. Then*

$$(x_1x_2...x_r)^\alpha = x_{i_1}^\alpha x_{i_2}^\alpha ... x_{i_r}^\alpha v_1^{f_1(\alpha)} v_2^{f_2(\alpha)} \cdots ,$$

where $\{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_r\} = \{1, 2, \ldots, r\}$ and $v_1, v_2, \cdots$ are commutators of weight at least two in the letters $x_i^{,}$s in ascending order and

$$f_i(\alpha) = a_1\binom{\alpha}{1} + a_2\binom{\alpha}{2} + \cdots + a_{w_i}\binom{\alpha}{w_i}, \tag{2.1}$$

with $a_1, \ldots, a_{wi} \in \mathbf{Z}$ and w_i is the weight of v_i in elements $x_1, \ldots, x_r$.

Lemma 2.2. *([9]). Let α be a fixed integer and G be a nilpotent group of class at most k. If $b_1, \ldots, b_r \in G$ and $r < k$, then*

$$[b_1, \ldots, b_{i-1}, b_i^\alpha, b_{i+1}, \ldots, b_r] = [b_1, ..., b_r]^\alpha v_1^{f_1(\alpha)} v_2^{f_2(\alpha)} \cdots ,$$

where $v_1, v_2, \ldots$ are commutators in $b_1, \ldots, b_r$ of weight strictly greater than r, and every b_j, $1 \le j \le r$, appears in each commutator v_i. The $f_i(\alpha)$ are of the form (2.1), with $a_1, \ldots, a_{w_i} \in \mathbf{Z}$ and w_i is the weight of v_i (in $b_1, \ldots, b_r$) minus $(r-1)$.

It is noted by Struik [9] that the above lemma can be proved similarly if $[b_1, \ldots, b_{i-1}, b_i^\alpha, b_{i+1}, \ldots, b_r]$ and $[b_1, \ldots, b_r]$ are replaced by arbitrary commutators (that is monimial commutators with parentheses arranged arbitrarily).

To prove the main results we require the following notions.

Definition 2.3. A relative central extension of a pair (G, N) of groups consists of a group homomorphism $\sigma : M \to G$ together with an action of G on M such that
i) $\sigma(M) = N$;
ii) $\sigma(m^g) = g^{-1}\sigma(m)g$, for all $m \in M, g \in G$;
iii) $m^{\sigma(m_1)} = m_1^{-1}mm_1$, for all $m \in M, g \in G$;
iv) G acts trivially on $ker\sigma$.

Let (G, N) be a pair of groups and $\sigma : M \to G$ be a relative central extension of (G, N). The G-commutator subgroup of M is defined the subgroup $[M, G]$ generated by all the G-commutators $[m, g] = m^{-1}m^g$, where m^g is the action of g on m, for all $g \in G, m \in M$. Also for all positive integer n, we define

$$Z_n(M, G) = \{m \in M |\ [m, g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n] = 1,\ for\ all\ g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n \in G\},$$

in which $[m, g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_n]$ denotes $[\cdots[[m, g_1], g_2], \ldots, g_n]$. It is easy to see that $Z_n(M, G) \le Z_n(M)$. The subgroup $Z_1(M, G)$ is well known as the G-center of M which is denoted by $Z(M, G)$.

Let (G,N) be a pair of groups and k be a positive integer. We define $\gamma_{k+1}(N,G) = [N,\ {}_kG]$ in which $[N,\ {}_kG] = [\cdots[[N,\underbrace{G],G],\ldots,G}_{k-times}]$. A pair (G,N) of groups is called nilpotent of class k if $\gamma_{k+1}(N,G) = 1$ and $\gamma_k(N,G) \neq 1$. It is clear that any pair of finite p-groups is nilpotent.

Definition 2.4. A relative central extension $\sigma : N^* \to G$ of a pair (G,N) is called a covering pair if there exists a subgroup A of N^* such that
i) $A \leq Z(N^*,G) \cap [N^*,G]$;
ii) $A \cong M(G,N)$;
iii) $N \cong N^*/A$.

Ellis proved that any pair of finite groups has at least one covering pair [2, Theorem 5.4].

Hereafter in this section, we suppose that (G,N) is a pair of finite groups and K is the complement of N in G. Also, suppose that $\sigma : N^* \to G$ is a covering pair of (G,N) with a subgroup of A of N^* such that $A \leq Z(N^*,G) \cap [N^*,G]$, $A \cong M(G,N)$ and $N \cong N^*/A$. Then for all $k \in K$, the homomorphism $\psi_k : N^* \to N^*$ defined by $n^* \mapsto n^{*k}$ is an automorphism of N^* in which n^{*k} is induced by the action of G on N^*. Considering the homomorphism $\psi : K \to Aut(N^*)$ given by $\psi(k) = \psi_k$ for all $k \in K$, we form the semidirect product of N^* by K and denote it by $G^* = N^*K$. Then it is easy to check that the subgroups $[N^*,G]$ and $Z(N^*,G)$ are contained in $[N^*,G^*]$ and $Z(N^*,G^*)$, respectively. If $\delta : G^* \to G$ is the map given by $\delta(n^*k) = \sigma(n^*)k$, for all $n^* \in N^*$ and $k \in K$, then δ is an epimorphism with $\ker\delta = \ker\sigma$.

Lemma 2.5. *By the above notation, let (G,N) be a nilpotent pair of finite groups of class k and $\exp(N) = p^e$. Then every commutator of weight w ($w \geq 2$) in $[N^*,\ {}_{w-1}G^*]$ has an order dividing $p^{e+m(k+1-w)}$, where $m = \lfloor \log_p k \rfloor$.*

Proof. We use reverse induction on w to prove the lemma. Since (G,N) is nilpotent of class k and $N \cong N^*/A$, $G \cong G^*/A$ and $A \leq Z(N^*,G^*)$, we have $[N^*,\ {}_{k+1}G^*] = 1$. On the other hand, $\exp(N) = p^e$ implies that $[N^{*p^e},G^*] = 1$. Hence the result follows for $w \geq k+1$ by Lemma 2.2. Now assume that $l < k+1$ and the result is true for all $w > l$. We will prove the result for l. Put $\alpha = p^{e+m(k+1-l)}$ with $m = \lfloor \log_p k \rfloor$ and let $u = [n,x_2,\ldots,x_l]$ be a commutator of weight l, where $n \in N^*$ and $x_2,\ldots,x_l \in G^*$. Then by Lemma 2.2, we have

$$[n^\alpha,x_2,\ldots,x_l] = [n,x_2,\ldots,x_l]^\alpha v_1^{f_1(\alpha)} v_2^{f_2(\alpha)} \cdots ,$$

where v_i is a commutator on $n,x_2,\ldots,x_l$ of weight w_i such that $l < w_i \leq k+1$, and $f_i(\alpha) = a_1\binom{\alpha}{1} + a_2\binom{\alpha}{2} + \cdots + a_{k_i}\binom{\alpha}{k_i}$, where $k_i = w_i - l + 1 \leq k$, for all $i \geq 1$. One can easily check that p^t divides $\binom{p^{t+m}}{s}$ with $m = \lfloor \log_p k \rfloor$, for any prime p and any positive integers t,s with $s \leq k$. This implies that $p^{e+m(k-l)}$ divides the $f_i(\alpha)$'s and so by induction hypothesis $v_i^{f_i(\alpha)} = 1$, for

all $i \geq 1$. On the other hand, it is clear that $[n^\alpha, x_2, \ldots, x_l] = 1$. Therefore $u^\alpha = 1$ and this completes the proof. □

Theorem 2.6. *If (G, N) is a nilpotent pair of finite groups of class k and N is a p-group of exponent p^e, then* $\exp([N^*, G^*])$ *divides* $p^{e+m(k-1)}$, *where* $m = \lfloor \log_p k \rfloor$.

Proof. Every element $g \in [N^*, G^*]$ can be expressed as $g = y_1 y_2 \cdots y_n$, where $y_i = [n_i, g_i]$ for $n_i \in N^*, g_i \in G^*$. Put $\alpha = p^{e+m(k-1)}$. By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$g^\alpha = y_{i_1}^\alpha y_{i_2}^\alpha \cdots y_{i_n}^\alpha \upsilon_1^{f_1(\alpha)} \upsilon_2^{f_2(\alpha)} \cdots,$$

where $\{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_n\} = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ and υ_i is a basic commutator of weight w_i in $y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n$, with $2 \leq w_i \leq k$, for all $i \geq 1$, and also $f_i(\alpha)$ is of the form (2.1). Hence by an argument similar to the proof of Lemma 2.5 $p^{e+m(k-2)}$ divides $f_i(\alpha)$. Then applying Lemma 2.5, we have $\upsilon_i^{f_i(\alpha)} = 1$, for all $i \geq 1$, and $y_j^\alpha = 1$, for all j, $1 \leq j \leq n$. We therefore have $g^\alpha = 1$ and the desired result follows. □

An upper bound for the exponent of the Schur multiplier of some pairs of finite groups is given in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.7. *Let (G, N) be a nilpotent pair of finite groups of class k such that* $\exp(N) = p^e$. *Then* $\exp(M(G, N))$ *is a divisor of* $p^{e+m(k-1)}$, *where* $m = \lfloor \log_p k \rfloor$.

Proof. The result follows by Theorem 2.6 and the fact that $M(G, N) \cong A \leq [N^*, G] \leq [N^*, G^*]$. □

The following corollary gives a condition under which the exponent of the Schur multiplier of a pair (G, N) divides the exponent of N.

Corollary 2.8. *Let (G, N) be a pair of finite p-groups of class at most $p-1$. Then* $\exp(M(G, N))$ *divides* $\exp(N)$.

Remark 2.9. Let G be a finite p-group of class k with $exp(G) = p^e$. Since $M(G, G) = M(G)$, Theorem 2.7 implies that $exp(M(G))$ divides $p^{e+\lfloor \log_p k \rfloor (k-1)}$. It is easy to see that this bound improves the bound $p^{(2e\lfloor \log_2 k \rfloor)}$ given by Moravec [8]. For example for any p-group G of class k, $2 \leq k \leq p-1$ with $exp(G) = p^e$, we have $p^{e+\lfloor \log_p k \rfloor (k-1)} \leq p^{(2e\lfloor \log_2 k \rfloor)}$.

Remark 2.10. Let (G, N) be a pair of finite nilpotent groups of class at most k. Let $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_n$ be all the Sylow subgroups of G. By [2, Corollary 1.2], we have

$$M(G, N) = M(S_1, S_1 \cap N) \times \cdots \times M(S_n, S_n \cap N).$$

Put $m_i = \lfloor \log_{p_i} k \rfloor$, for all i, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then by Theorem 2.7, we have

$$\exp(M(G, N) \mid \prod_{i=1}^{n} p_i^{e_i + m_i(k-1)},$$

where $p_i^{e_i} = \exp(S_i)$.

3. Pairs of powerful p-groups

In 1987, A. Lubotzky and A. Mann [6] defined powerful p-groups which are used for studying p-groups. They gave some bounds for the order, the exponent and the number of generators of the Schur multiplier of a powerful p-group. Also, they showed that $\exp(M(G))$ divides $\exp(G)$ when G is a powerful p-group. The purpose of this section is to show that if (G, N) is a pair of finite p-groups and N is powerfully embedded in G, then the exponent of $M(G, N)$ divides the exponent of N. Throughout this section $\mho_i(G)$ denotes the subgroup of G generated by all p^ith powers of elements of G. It is easy to see that $\mho_{i+j}(G) \subseteq \mho_i(\mho_j(G))$, for all positive integers i, j.

Definition 3.1. *(i)* A p-group G is called powerful if p is odd and $G' \leq \mho_1(G)$, or $p = 2$ and $G' \leq \mho_2(G)$.
(ii) Let G is a p-group and $N \leq G$. Then N is powerfully embedded in G if p is odd and $[N, G] \leq \mho_1(N)$, or $p = 2$ and $[N, G] \leq \mho_2(N)$.

Any powerfully embedded subgroup is itself a powerful p-group and must be normal in the whole group. Also a p-group is powerful exactly when it is powerfully embedded in itself. While it is obvious that factor groups and direct products of powerful p-groups are powerful, this property is not subgroup-inherited [6]. The following lemma gives some properties of powerful p-groups.

Lemma 3.2. *([6]). The following statements hold for a powerful p-group G.*
(i) $\gamma_i(G), G^i, \mho_i(G), \Phi(G)$ *are powerfully embedded in* G.
(ii) $\mho_i(\mho_j(G)) = \mho_{i+j}(G)$.
(iii) Each element of $\mho_i(G)$ *can be written as* a^{p^i}, *for some* $a \in G$ *and hence* $\mho_i(G) = \{g^{p^i} : g \in G\}$.
(iv) If $G = \langle a_1, a_2, ..., a_d \rangle$, *then* $\mho_i(G) = \langle a_1^{p^i}, a_2^{p^i}, ..., a_d^{p^i} \rangle$.

Lemma 3.3. *([6]). Let N be powerfully embedded in G. Then $\mho_i(N)$ is powerfully embedded in G.*

The proof of the following lemma is straightforward.

Lemma 3.4. *Let M and G be two groups with an action of G on M. Then for all $m, n \in M$, $g, h \in G$, and any integer k we have the following equalities.*
(i) $[mn, g] = [m, g]^n [n, g]$;
(ii) $[m, gh] = [m, h][m, g]^h$;
(iii) $[m^{-1}, g]^{-1} = [m, g]^{m^{-1}}$;
(iv) $[m, g^{-1}]^{-1} = [m, g]^{g^{-1}}$;
(v) $[m, g^{-1}, h]^g [m, [g, h^{-1}]]^h [[m^{-1}, h]^{-1}, g]^m = 1$;
(vi) $[m^k, g] = [m, g]^k [m, g, m]^{k(k-1)/2} \pmod{[M, {}_3G]}$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let (G, N) be a pair of finite p-groups and $\sigma : N^* \to G$ be a relative central extension of (G, N). Suppose that M and K are two normal subgroups of N^*. Then $M \leq K$ if $M \leq K[M, G]$.*

Proof. Applying Lemma 3.4 we have

$$M \leq K[M,G] \leq K[K[M,G],G] \leq K[K,G][M,G,G] \leq \cdots \leq K[M,\ {}_iG],$$

for all $i \geq 1$. On the other hand, since G is a finite p-group, there exists an integer l such that $[N,\ {}_lG] = 1$. Hence $[N^*,\ {}_{l+1}\ G] = 1$ and the result follows. □

Lemma 3.6. *Let (G,N) be a pair of finite p-groups and $\sigma : N^* \to G$ be a relative central extension of (G,N). Let M be a normal subgroup of H. Then the following statements hold.*
(i) If $p > 2$, then $[\mho_1(M),G] \subseteq \mho_1([M,G])[M,\ {}_3G]$.
(ii) If $p = 2$, then $[\mho_2(M),G] \subseteq \mho_2([M,G])\mho_1([M,\ {}_2G])[M,\ {}_3G]$.

Proof. *(i)* It is enough to show that $[m^p,g] \in \mho_1([M,G])[M,\ {}_3G]$, for all $m \in M, g \in G$. By Lemma 3.4 $[m^p,g] = [m,g]^p[m,g,m]^{p(p-1)/2} \pmod{[M,\ {}_3G]}$. Since p is odd and $p \mid \frac{p(p-1)}{2}$ we have $[m,g]^p[m,g,m]^{p(p-1)/2} \in \mho_1([M,G])$. Now the result holds.
(ii) The proof is similar to *(i)*. □

Lemma 3.7. *Let (G,N) be a pair of finite p-groups and $\sigma : N^* \to G$ be a relative central extension of (G,N). Suppose that $K \leq N^*$. Then the following statements hold.*
(i) If $p > 2$, then $[K,G] \leq \mho_1(K)$ if and only if $[K/[K,\ {}_2G],G] \leq \mho_1(K/[K,\ {}_2G])$.
(ii) If $p = 2$, then $[K,G] \leq \mho_2(K)$ if and only if $[K/[K,\ {}_2G],G] \leq \mho_2(K/[K,\ {}_2G])$.
(iii) If $p = 2$, then $[K,G] \leq \mho_2(K)$ if and only if $[K/\mho_1([K,G]),G] \leq \mho_2(K/\mho_1([K,G]))$.

Proof. *(i)* Let $[K,G] \leq \mho_1(K)$ and put $H = [K,\ {}_2G]$. Then

$$[\frac{K}{H},G] = \frac{[K,G]H}{H} \leq \frac{\mho_1(K)H}{H} = \mho_1(\frac{K}{H}),$$

as desired. Sufficiency follows by Lemma 3.5.
(ii) The proof is similar to *(i)*.
(iii) Necessity follows as for (i). Let $[K/\mho_1([K,G]),G] \leq \mho_2(K/\mho_1([K,G]))$. Then $[K,G] \leq \mho_2(K)\mho_1([K,G])$. On the other hand, $\mho_1([K/\mho_1([K,G]),G])$. Thus $[K/\mho_1([K,G]),G]$ is abelian and so $\Phi([K/\mho_1([K,G]),G]) = 1$. This implies that $\Phi([K,G]) = \mho_1([K,G])$. Therefore $[K,G] \leq \mho_2(K)$. □

The following useful remark is a consequence of Lemma 3.7.

Remark 3.8. Let (G,N) be a pair of finite p-groups and $\sigma : N^* \to G$ be a relative central extension of (G,N). Let $K \leq N^*$. Then to prove that $[K,G] \leq \mho_1(K)$ ($[K,G] \leq \mho_2(K)$ for $p = 2$) we can assume that
(i) $[K,\ {}_2G] = 1$;
(ii) $\mho_1(K) = 1$ ($\mho_2(K) = 1$ for $p = 2$) and try to show that $[K,G] = 1$;
(iii) $\mho_1([K,G]) = 1$ whenever $p = 2$.

Lemma 3.9. *Let (G,N) be a pair of finite p-groups and $\sigma : N^* \to G$ be a covering pair of (G,N). Let N be powerfully embedded in G.*

(i) If $p > 2$, then $[\mho_n([N^*, G]), G] \leq \mho_1(\mho_n([N^*, G]))$.
(ii) If $p = 2$, then $[\mho_n([N^*, G]), G] \leq \mho_2(\mho_n([N^*, G]))$.

Proof. N^* has a subgroup A such that $A \leq Z(N^*, G) \cap [N^*, G]$, $A \cong M(G, N)$ and $N \cong N^*/A$.
(*i*) Let $p > 2$. We use induction on n. If $n = 0$, then by Remark 3.8 we may assume that $[[N^*, G], G, G] = 1$, $\mho_1([N^*, G]) = 1$ and we should show that $[[N^*, G], G] = 1$. Since N is powerfully embedded in G, we have $[N, G] \leq \mho_1(N)$, and therefore $[N^*, G] \leq \mho_1(N^*)A$. Now we claim that $\mho_1(N^*) \leq Z(N^*, G)$. To prove the claim, let $a \in N^*$ and $b \in G$. Since $\gamma_3(\langle a, [N^*, G]\rangle) = 1$, we have $cl(< a, a^b >) \leq cl(< a, [N^*, G] >) \leq 2$ ($cl(H)$ denotes the nilpotency class of H). On the other hand, Lemma 3.4 implies that

$$(a^p)^b = a^p[a^p, b] \equiv a^p[a, b]^p[a, b, a]^{p(p-1)/2} \pmod{[< a >,\ {}_3G]}.$$

Therefore $(a^p)^b = a^p$ since $[[N^*, G], G, G] = 1$ and $\mho_1([N^*, G]) = 1$. Hence $\mho_1(N^*) \leq Z(N^*, G)$ as desired. Thus $[N^*, G] \leq \mho_1(N^*)A \leq Z(N^*, G)$ and the result follows for $n = 0$.

Now suppose that the induction hypothesis is true for $n = k$. The first step of induction implies that $[N^*, G]$ is powerful. Using Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6, one can see that if H is a subgroup of N^* and $[H, G] \leq \mho_1(H)$, then $[\mho_1(H), G] \leq \mho_1(\mho_1(H))$. Hence by Lemma 3.2 and induction hypothesis we have

$$[\mho_{k+1}([N^*, G]), G] = [\mho_1(\mho_k([N^*, G])), G] \leq \mho_1(\mho_1(\mho_k([N^*, G])))$$

$$= \mho_1(\mho_{k+1}([N^*, G]))$$

which completes the proof.
(*ii*) Let $p = 2$. The proof is similar to (i), but we need to prove that if H is a subgroup of N^* and $[H, G] \leq \mho_2(H)$, then $[\mho_1(H), G] \leq \mho_2(\mho_1(H))$. By Remark 3.8, for $a \in H, b \in G$ we have $[a^4, b] = [a^2, b]^2 = 1$. So $a^4 \in Z(H, G)$ and $\mho_2(H) \leq Z(H, G)$. Then $[H, G] \leq \mho_2(H) \leq Z(H, G)$. Therefore $[a^2, b] = [a, b]^2$ and

$$[\mho_1(H), G] = \mho_1([H, G]). \tag{3.1}$$

On the other hand, since $\mho_2(H) \leq Z(H, G)$, we have

$$\mho_1(\mho_2(H)) =< ({a_1}^4 \dots {a_k}^4)^2 | a_i \in H >=< {a_1}^8 \dots {a_k}^8 >= \mho_3(H) \leq \mho_2(\mho_1(H)).$$

Hence (3.1) implies that $[\mho_1(H), G] \leq \mho_2(\mho_1(H))$ which completes the proof of the above claim. □

Lemma 3.10. *Let H and G be two arbitrary groups with an action of G on N. If $x \in H$ and $g \in G$, then*

$$[x^n, g] = [x, g]^n c,$$

where $M = \langle x, [x, g]\rangle$ and $c \in \gamma_2(M)$.

Proof. Applying Lemma 2.1, we have

$$[x^n, g] = (x^n)^{-1}(x^n)^g = (x)^{-n}(x^g)^n = (x)^{-n}(x[x,g])^n = [x,g]^n c,$$

where $M = \langle x, [x,g]\rangle, c \in \gamma_2(M)$. □

Now we can state the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.11. *Let (G,N) be a pair of finite p-groups in which N is powerfully embedded in G. Then* $\exp(M(G,N))$ *divides* $\exp(N)$.

Proof. Let $p > 2$ and $\sigma : N^* \to G$ be a covering pair of (G,N) with a subgroup A such that $A \leq Z(N^*,G) \cap [N^*,G]$, $A \cong M(G,N)$ and $N \cong N^*/A$. It is enough to show that $\exp([N^*,G]) = \exp(N^*/Z(N^*,G))$. For this we use induction on k and show that

$$\mho_k([N^*,G]) = [\mho_k(N^*), G]. \tag{3.2}$$

If $k = 0$, then (3.2) holds. Now assume that (3.2) holds, for $k = n$. Working in powerful p-group N^*/A we get $\mho_{n+1}(N^*/A) = \mho_1(\mho_n(N^*/A))$ by Lemma 3.2. Hence

$$\frac{\mho_{n+1}(N^*)A}{A} = \mho_1(\frac{\mho_n(N^*)A}{A}) = \frac{\mho_1(\mho_n(N^*)A)A}{A}. \tag{3.3}$$

Then Lemmas 3.6 and 3.9 and induction hypothesis imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mho_{n+1}(N^*), G] = [\mho_1(\mho_n(N^*)A)A, G] &\leq \mho_1([\mho_n(N^*)A, G])[\mho_n(N^*)A,\ {}_3G] \\
&\leq \mho_1([\mho_n(N^*), G])[\mho_n(N^*)A,\ {}_2G] \\
&\leq \mho_1(\mho_n([N^*,G])[\mho_n([N^*,G]), G] \\
&\leq \mho_1(\mho_n([N^*,G]) = \mho_{n+1}([N^*,G]).
\end{aligned}$$

For the reverse inclusion, we show that

$$\mho_{n+1}([N^*,G]) \equiv 1 \pmod{[\mho_{n+1}(N^*), G]}.$$

Since by (3.4), $[\mho_{n+1}(N^*), G]) = [\mho_1(\mho_n(N^*)A)A, G] = [\mho_1(\mho_n(N^*)A), G]$, it follows that $\mho_1(\mho_n(N^*)A)A \leq Z(N^*,G) \pmod{[\mho_{n+1}(N^*), G]}$.
On the other hand, since N is powerfully embedded in G, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mho_n(N^*), G] = [\mho_n(N^*)A, G] &\leq \mho_1(\mho_n(N^*)A)A \\
&\leq Z(N^*,G) \pmod{[\mho_{n+1}(N^*), G]}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $[\mho_n(N^*), G, G] \equiv 1 \pmod{[\mho_{n+1}(N^*), G]}$.
Moreover, by Lemma 3.10 we have

$$[\mho_1(\mho_n(N^*)A), G][\mho_n(N^*), G, G] = \mho_1([\mho_n(N^*), G])[\mho_n(N^*), G, G].$$

It follows that $\mho_1[(\mho_n(N^*)), G] \equiv 1 \pmod{[\mho_{n+1}(N^*), G]}$. Then by induction hypothesis, we have

$$\mho_{n+1}([N^*,G]) = \mho_1(\mho_n[N^*,G]) = \mho_1([\mho_n(N^*), G]) \equiv 1 \pmod{[\mho_{n+1}(N^*), G]}.$$

This completes the proof for odd primes p. The proof for the case $p = 2$ is similar. □

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank the referee for the valuable comments and useful suggestions to improve the present paper.

This research was supported by a grant from Ferdowsi University of Mashhad; (No. MP90259MSH).

References

[1] A.J. Bayes, J. Kautsky, J.W. Wamsley, *Computation in Nilpotent Groups (application)*, in: Proc. 2nd Internat. Conf. Theory of Groups, in: Lecture Notes in Math. Vol. **372**, Springer-Verlag (1974), 82-89.

[2] G. Ellis, *The Schur Multiplier of a Pair of Groups*, Appl. Categ. Structures, **6** (1998), 355-371.

[3] G. Ellis, *On the Relation Between Upper Central Quotients and Lower Central Series of a Group*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **353** (2001), 4219-4234.

[4] M.R. Jones, *Some inequalities for the multiplicator of a finite group II*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **45** (1974), 167-172.

[5] S. Kayvanfar and M.A. Sanati, *A Bound for the Exponent of the Schur Multiplier of some Finite p-Groups*, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. Vol. **26**, No. 2 (2000), 89-95.

[6] A. Lubotzky and A. Mann, *Powerful p-groups. I. Finite Groups.*, J. Algebra **105** (1987), 484-505.

[7] B. Mashayekhy, A. Hokmabadi and F. Mohammadzadeh, *On a Conjecture of a Bound for the Exponent of the Schur Multiplier of a Finite p-Group*, Bull. Iranian Mathematical Society, to appear.

[8] P. Moravec, *Schur multipliers and power endomorphisms of groups*, *J. Algebra* **308** (2007), 12-25.

[9] R.R. Struik, *On Nilpotent Product of Cyclic Groups*, Canad. J. Math. **12** (1960), 447-462.

Fahimeh Mohammadzadeh
Department of Mathematic
Faculty of Sciences
Payame Noor University
Iran
e-mail: F.mohamadzade@gmail.com

Azam Hokmabadi
Department of Mathematic
Faculty of Sciences
Payame Noor University
Iran
e-mail: ahokmabadi@pnu.ac.ir

Behrooz Mashayekhy
Department of Pure Mathematics
Center of Excellence in Analysis on Algebraic Structures
Ferdowsi University of Mashhad
P. O. Box 1159-91775
Mashhad
Iran
e-mail: bmashf@um.ac.ir